	ATES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF NEBRASKA
GEORGE LEMM,	OFFICE OF THE DECEME
Plaintiff,	
vs.	) COMPLAINT AND ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
OMNI ENGINEERING, INC. 14012 Giles Road Omaha, NE 68138	8:06cv254
Defendant.	)

Plaintiff, George Lemm, through his undersigned attorney, brings this Complaint against Omni Engineering, Inc., 14012 Giles Road, Omaha, NE 68138, and in support thereof states the following upon information and belief:

# 1. Introduction, Jurisdiction, and Parties

- 1. This suit is brought and jurisdiction lies pursuant to section 107

  (a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act (hereinafter "ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §12117, which incorporates by reference §706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5.
- 2. All conditions precedent to jurisdiction under §706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f) (3), have occurred or been complied with.
  - a. A charge of employment discrimination on the basis of disability was filed with the Equal Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") within the statutory time period allowed for such claim.

- b. A Notification of Right to Sue was obtained by plaintiff. A true and accurate copy of said notification is attached as Exhibit A, and by this reference incorporated herein.
- This complaint has been filed within 90 days of receipt of the
   EEOC's Notification of Right to Sue.
- 3. Plaintiff, George Lemm, is a citizen of the United States and the state of Nebraska, who resides at 106 Kirby Avenue, Bellevue, NE 68005.
- 4. All of the discriminatory employment practices alleged herein were committed within the state of Nebraska.
- 5. Defendant Omni Engineering, Inc. hereinafter "Omni," is a Nebraska corporation, with a principal place of business in Nebraska, where Defendant operates an asphalt company at which it employed the Plaintiff as a diesel mechanic.
- 6. Omni is a "person" within the meaning of §701 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e.
- 7. Omni is engaged in an industry that affects commerce within the meaning of Section 101(7) of the ADA, ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §12117 and §701of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e.
- 8. Omni employs 15 or more employees and is an "employer" within the meaning of Section 101(5)(A) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12111(5)(A).

#### IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

9. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission alleging violations

of the ADA by Defendants. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

- 10. Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant in a mechanic position from August 5, 2002 until May 27, 2004.
- 11. Plainitff was diagnosed with heart disease after a heart attack on or about July 21, 1984.
  - 12. Plaintiff's heart was equipped with a defibrillator in 1998.
- 13. Plaintiff's defibrillator activated on May 26, 2004 while working at Defendant's garage. Medics arrived and tended to Plaintiff and ultimately released him to return to work.
- 14. Plaintiff was terminate by Omni Engineering, Inc. on May 27, 2004.

  Bill Valker informed Plaintiff of his termination and explained that the termination was necessary because of safety reasons.
- 15. At all relevant time in 2004, Defendant and Defendant's agents and/or employees knew of Plaintiff's health condition relating to heart disease.
- 16. At all times during his employment with Omni through the present time, Plaintiff has been an individual with a "disability" within the meaning of Section 3(2) of the ADA. More particularly, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with heart disease which has resulted in physical impairments substantially limit one or more of his major life activities. Alternatively, Plaintiff has a record of having a disability, and or is regarded by Omni has having a disability.
- 17. Plaintiff is a "qualified individual with a disability" as that term is defined in §101(8) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12111(8). More specifically, Plaintiff is

an individual with a disability, who reasonable lifting limitations, can perform the essential functions of diesel mechanic without endangering his health or the health of others in the workplace. Further, providing such reasonable accommodation would not impose an undue hardship on Omni.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein, as if fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs one through seventeen (17).
- 19. The foregoing actions by Defendant, Omni Engineering, Inc., constitute a violation of the ADA. Defendant discriminated against the Plaintiff on the basis of his disability or, alternatively, because of Defendant's perception that Plaintiff was disabled and terminated Plaintiff because of either aforementioned basis.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the ADA, Plaintiff has suffered lost wages and lost benefits in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 21. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the ADA, Plaintiff has suffered undue hardship, great emotional distress, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, extreme mental anguish, and damage to his reputation and work status.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

22. Plaintiff incorporates herein, as if full set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs one through twenty-one (21).

- 23. Omni's failure to make reasonable accommodation to Plaintiff's physical disability constitutes discrimination against Plaintiff with respect to the terms, condition, or privileges or employment. Omni's action constitute a violation of Section 102(b)(5)(A) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12111(b)(5)(A).
- 24. Omni has failed to undertake any good faith efforts, in consultation with Plaintiff, to identify and make reasonable accommodation with plaintiff.
- 25. In failing to make reasonable accommodations to Plaintiff's physical disability, Omni acted with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's aforesaid discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered lost wages and lost benefits in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 27. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the ADA, Plaintiff has suffered undue hardship, great emotional distress, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, extreme mental anguish, and damage to his reputation and work status.

# V. PRAYER FOR RELEIF

Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in employment practices which discriminate on the basis of disability.

- B. Order Defendants to make whole George Lemm providing appropriate backpay with prejudgment interest, in amount to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including, but not limited to, front pay or reinstatement.
- C. Order Defendants to make whole George Lemm by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- D. Order Defendants to make whole George Lemm by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above, undue hardship, great emotional distress, humiliation, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, extreme mental anguish, and damage to his reputation and work status, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendants to pay George Lemm punitive damages for Defendant's malicious and reckless conduct described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order the Defendants to pay George Lemm a reasonable attorney's fee for this action.
  - G. Order the Defendants to pay the cost of this action.
- H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

### VI. JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Plaintiff requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

George Lemm

Robb N. Gage, Neb. # 22502

Attorney at Law

11560 West Dodge Road

Omaha, NE 68154

402-498-2777

402-498-6457 (fax)

EEOC Form 161-B (3/98)

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

EOC F	orm 161-B	(3/98)	0.0. 240. 12		<del></del>		
			NOTICE OF R	GHT TO SUE (/	SSUED	ON REQUEST)	
 Го:	106 K	e Lemm irby Avenue vue, NE 68005			From:	Denver District Office 303 East 17th Avenu. Suite 510 Denver, CO 80203	
		On behalf of perso CONFIDENTIAL (2	n(s) aggrieved whose ide 29 CFR § 1601.7(a))				hone No.
Chai	rge No.		EEOC Represe	entative			MONE IVO.
			Ronald L. Ho	ouser, cal Coordinator		;	·) 866-1340
32E	-2004-	00879	State and Lo	car ocoramato.	(See als	o the additional informa	nerosed with this form.)
Title unde	e VII of the Y	VII and/or the ADA  Ist be filed in feder  (The time limit for	ct of 1964 and/or the based on the above-n ral or state court <u>WIT</u> filing suit based on a	HIN 90 DAYS of your state claim may be d	r receipt ( ifferent.)	Act (ADA): This is your issued at your request of this Notice or your re	te of Right to Sue, issued anyspir under Title VII or de based on this charge
	X X	Less than 180 day be able to comple The EEOC is term	ete its administrative p ninating its processing	the filing of this chargo rocessing within 180 g of this charge.	ae, but lif	nave determined that it is n the filing of the charge	∞ely that the EEOC will
unti	i 90 day	:tion in Emple	ontinue to process this cyment Act (ADEA): a notice that we have to	You may sue under	the ADEA the charg	A at any time from 60 da, e. In this regard, the pa-	aer the charge was filed aph marked below
upr		The EEOC is clos	sing your case. There	fore, your lawsuit unce. Otherwise, your r	der the Al	DEA <b>must be filed in f</b> e- e based on the above-n.	or state court <u>WITHIN</u> red charge will be lost.
		The EEOC is con you may file suit i	ntinuing its handling of in federal or state cou	your ADEA case. H intunder the ADEA at	owever, i this time	f 60 days have passed :	ae filing of your charge,
: 6	م طمیما د	r stata court within	2 years (3 years for w	/iliful violations) of th€	e alleged i	EOC charge is not reques EPA underpayment. The may not be collectible	e A suits must be brought this that backpay due for
lf y	ou file s	uit based on this c	harge, please send a	copy of your court co	mplaint t	o this office.	
				On behalf of the	e Commi	ssion	
			$\cap$	d 0.	10 0	₽ .	DEC 0.5 2005
En	closure	(s)	7	Jeanette Acting Denver I	-	Serio Director	(Dete Mailed)
CC.	Nan	cv Graham Cagle	9				

OMNI ENGINEERING, INC 14012 Giles Road Omaha, NE 68138

